From: <a href="mailto:cmecf-flmd">cmecf-flmd</a> notification@flmd.uscourts.gov

To: <a href="mailto:cmecf-flmd">cmecf-flmd</a> notices@flmd.uscourts.gov

Subject: Activity in Case 8:20-cv-00394-WFJ-SPF Securities and Exchange Commission v. Kinetic Investment Group, LLC

et al Order on Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

**Date:** Friday, March 6, 2020 6:26:56 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

\*\*\*NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS\*\*\* Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

## **U.S. District Court**

## Middle District of Florida

## **Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered on 3/6/2020 at 6:26 PM EST and filed on 3/6/2020

Case Name: Securities and Exchange Commission v. Kinetic Investment Group, LLC

et al

Case Number: 8:20-cv-00394-WFJ-SPF [ecf.flmd.uscourts.gov]

Filer:

Document

Number: 40(No document attached)

## **Docket Text:**

**ENDORSED ORDER granting in part and denying in part [35] Motion** Miscellaneous Relief. Based upon the SEC's response to Dkt. 35, the SEC states that the Order Granting Receivership did not list Mr. Williams personally as a "receivership defendant." The definition of "Defendant" in the whereas preamble of the Receivership Order is defendant Kinetic Investment Group, LLC. only. And Mr. Williams personally is not listed as a relief defendant. The term "receivership defendants" that can be found later in the Order is defined in paragraph 1 of that Order to be "Defendant" [KIG, LLC] and "Relief Defendants." Accordingly, the SEC appears correct that Mr. Williams personally is not a Receivership Defendant under the Order granting Receivership. The Court appreciates the fairly stark and sudden nature of events unfolding in this case today, and that people are traveling this afternoon and not available for consultation. In the future, both the Williams-related parties and the receiver should kindly discuss all matters in a "meet and confer" before bringing any issue to the Court. Signed by Judge William F. Jung on 3/6/2020. (Jung, William)

Gregory W. Kehoe kehoeg@gtlaw.com, meyerp@gtlaw.com

John T. Houchin houchinj@sec.gov

Stephanie Nicole Moot moots@sec.gov, almontei@sec.gov, jacqmeinv@sec.gov, landaul@sec.gov

Danielle Susan Kemp kempd@gtlaw.com, meyerp@gtlaw.com, tpalitdock@gtlaw.com

Christine Nestor nestorc@sec.gov, almontei@sec.gov, jacqmeinv@sec.gov

Jordan D. Maglich jordan.maglich@quarles.com, docketFL@quarles.com, donna.santoro@quarles.com, nichole.perez@quarles.com, rebecca.wilt@quarles.com

Barbara Viniegra viniegrab@sec.gov

Joseph H. Picone piconej@gtlaw.com, dunnla@gtlaw.com

Steven M. Malina malinas@gtlaw.com, caswickc@gtlaw.com

8:20-cv-00394-WFJ-SPF Notice has been delivered by other means to: